PREOPERATIVE THERAPY IN INVASIVE BREAST CANCER

Reviewing the State of the Science and Exploring New Research Directions

Pre-operative cooperative group trials Considerations for further research

Jo Anne Zujewski, M.D. Clinical Investigations Branch Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program DCTD, NCI

U.S. DEPARTMEN OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institute of Health

PREOPERATIVE THERAPY IN INVASIVE BREAST CANCER

Reviewing the State of the Science and Exploring New Research Directions

Disclaimer

U.S. DEPARTMEN OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICE

National Institutes of Health

Considerations for further research

- What is the problem we are trying to solve?
- What are we doing today?
- Immediate next steps
- The BIG picture

"Personalized Medicine"

Tailoring therapy Right treatment to the Right person

Goals for Breast Cancer Therapy

- No recurrence of the cancer
- No evidence of having had breast cancer
- No evidence of having had treatment for breast cancer
- No acute toxicity of the therapy
- No late sequelae of the therapy

William Wood, NCI, March 2007



DURATION OF LIFE FROM ONSET OF SYMPTOMS (YEARS)

Chart 41-3. Length of survival in 250 patients with untreated breast carcinoma—Middlesex Hospital, 1805 to 1933 (Bloom).ep

Incremental benefit



Courtesy of Soon Paik

Considerations for further research

- What is the problem we are trying to solve?
- What are we doing today?
- Immediate next steps
- The BIG picture

Molecular Profiling



- Address subtype specific questions
- Select therapies for future research
- Discovery of "targets"

Sorlie T et al, PNAS 2001

ACOSOG Z1031



No recurrence of the cancer

No acute toxicity of the therapy No late sequelae of the therapy

PI M.J. Ellis. Status Active: http://www.ctsu.org/.

ACOSOG Z1041: HER-2 Concurrent chemotherapy and targeted therapy

Patients with histologically confirmed T2-T3 invasive breast carcinoma pos for HER-2/neu



Group 1: Paclitaxel plus Trastuzumab (Herceptin) x 12 weeks, followed by FEC x 4 cycles (+ Trastuzumab x 12 weeks)

Group 2: FEC x 4 cycles, followed by Paclitaxel plus Trastuzumab (Herceptin) x 12 weeks BCT/Mastectom y and SLND/ALND Path evaluation for response

After completion of local therapy, patients will receive Trastuzumab to complete one year of therapy

No recurrence of the cancer

No acute toxicity of the therapy No late sequelae of the therapy

CALGB HER-2 blockade



No recurrence of the cancer

TRIPLE NEG- DNA damage



No recurrence of the cancer

Antiangiogenesis: combination of chemotherapy and biologic



No recurrence of the cancer



Can early response drive therapy?

No recurrence of the cancer



N=540 Her 2 neg. Non-responder

Pw = weekly Paclitaxel R = Rad 001

Considerations for further research

- What is the problem we are trying to solve?
- What are we doing today?
- Immediate next steps
- The BIG picture

Coordinated Global Efforts







Incorporate Specimen Collection SOPs

- To promote and ensure proper collection of highquality research specimen such that each patient diagnosed with breast cancer can have a reliable, interpretable molecular diagnosis.
- To provide a known baseline of standardization of specimen collection and handling procedure, to the extent possible, such that more global biomarker analysis across studies is possible.

 To promote specimen collection that would allow for future technologies, particularly in the molecular arena, to be applied to specimens for research.

Incorporate Specimen Collection SOPs

 Prospective validation of predictive factors in "retrospective" clinical trials STandardized definitions for Efficacy End Points in NEO-Adjuvant Breast Cancer Trials (STEEP)

- Malignant cells undetectable in breast and lymph nodes
- Invasive tumor undetectable in breast and lymph nodes (DCIS allowed)
- Invasive disease absent in breast
- Total or near total therapeutic effect in the primary tumor and evidence of therapeutic effect in lymph nodes, no metastasis

THE BIG Picture

 How will we get from pCR to changing practice?

Pre- or post- SLNB

How can we answer this question?

Confirming Surrogates in prospective studies of clinical outcome

- Over-interpretation of early results
 - Will we be able to confirm high response rates in triple negative disease
- Should we "nest" the neoadjuvant studies?
 - "Less" selection bias, concurrent validation possible, more "robust" diagnostics

What if you do not get a pCR?

Residual risk strategies

Problem of more of the same?
Systemic therapies?
Local therapies?



Inflammatory Breast Cancer

- How do we study a rare disease
 More basic science-incentives?
 Registries?
 - –International randomized trials?

PREOPERATIVE THERAPY IN INVASIVE BREAST CANCER

Reviewing the State of the Science and Exploring New Research Directions

Partnerships Academia, Industry, Government, Advocacy

U.S. DEPARTMEN OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICE

National Institutes of Health