#### NCI BOLD Task Force Common Data Elements (CDE) – General

The following represents a **SUMMARY OF ALL SURGERIES** (as such this will require continuous updating).

### I. General patient & protocol information

Patient ID	
Patient ID (protocol ID -	sequentially assigned number based on order of registration)
Patient Characteristics	s at Registration
Patient age at registration Note: Can be computed	on (in years) I from Date of Birth and Date of Registration by local system.
Menopausal Status <i>(che</i>	<ul> <li>ck one)</li> <li>□ Pre (&lt;6 mo since LMP AND no prior bilateral ovariectomy AND not on estrogen replacement)</li> <li>□ Post (prior bilateral ovariectomy OR &gt;12 mo since LMP with no prior hysterectomy AND not currently receiving therapy with LH-RH analogs (e.g., Zoladex))</li> <li>□ Above categories not applicable AND Age &lt; 50</li> <li>□ Above categories not applicable AND Age &gt;= 50</li> </ul>
Patient's Vital Status	□ Alive □ Dead
☐ Due t ☐ Accid	of Death (check one) of this disease
Patient Performance S	Status
<ul> <li>□ 1 = Restricted in p sedentary nature</li> <li>□ 2 = Ambulatory an more than 50% or</li> <li>□ 3 = Capable of online</li> </ul>	atus <i>(check one)</i> ble to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction. (Karnofsky 90 - 100) hysically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to carry out work of a light or e,(e.g. light housework, office work). (K 70 - 80) and capable of all self-care but unable to carry out any work activities. Up and about of waking hours. (K 50 - 60) by limited self-care, confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours. (K 30 - 40) by sabled. Cannot carry on any self-care. Totally confined to bed or chair. (K 10 - 20)
Karnofsky Performance	Status (check one)
<ul> <li>□ 90 = Able to carry</li> <li>□ 80 = Normal activit</li> <li>□ 70 = Cares for self</li> <li>□ 60 = Requires occi</li> <li>□ 50 = Requires cons</li> <li>□ 40 = Disabled, req</li> </ul>	omplaints, no evidence of disease on normal activity; minor signs or symptoms of disease by with effort; some signs or symptoms of disease on unable to carry on normal activity or to do active work assional assistance, but is able to care for most of his/her needs siderable assistance and frequent medical care uires special care and assistance oled, hospitalization indicated. Death not imminent

☐ 20 = Very si ☐ 10 = Moribu ☐ 0 = Dead				imminent			
Protocol Design	n (To be adjus	sted per proto	col speci	fics)			
Assigned Treatm	nent Arm						
Treatment Assig	nment Code E	xample <i>(TAC)</i> (	(protocol s	specific)			
TAC description Agent NSC Number*	(Note: The foll Agent Name	owing fields ca Agent Dose			Frequency	****	
* Please check t	he CTEP Hom	e Page for a lis	t of agent	NSC num	nbers.		
** Unit = $mg/m^2$ ,	mg/kg, mg						
*** Route = IM,	IV, NASAL ,PC	), Transdermal,	, TOP, or	Vaginal			
days), q3d (Ever day for 5 days), qod (Every Othe qwk (Weekly), 2 two weeks), qmo	y three days), or Day), qam (E times/week, 3 onth (Monthly), hour), q2h (Eve ery eight hours)	qd x 3 (Once a very Morning), times/week, Ti ac (Before me ery two hours), , q12h (Every t	day for 3 qhs (Evei w (Three als), pc (A q3h (Ever welve hou	days), qd ry night), I Times a W Ifter Meals ry three ho Irs),	x 4 (Once a PRN (As Ne Veek), Biw ( s), ours), q4h (E	a day for 4 days) eeded), Every two week	y), q2d (Every two ), qd x 5 ( Once a s), q2wk (Every s), q6h (Every six
Initial Diagnosti	ic Specimen (		Disease I	Description	on		
_	•	·	□ Diabt	□ Biloto	rol		
Tumor Laterality  Method of Evalua  ☐ Excisional bio	ation: □ Fine	needle aspiration	on biopsy		oiopsy □ In		
Histologic Type	☐ Invasive (i☐ Invasive n	nfiltrating) duct nfiltrating) lobu nixed ductal an nammary carcii ase specify)	llar carcino d lobular o noma (not	oma carcinoma	e specified)		

Histologic Grade (note, the	nis is the summary	of ard	chitectu	ral, nı	uclea	ar, and m	totic count itemized below)
☐ Low ☐ Intermediate	e □ High □	Unk	nown				
Architectural grade (tubule	formation), if ducta	card	cinoma		1	□2 □3	3
Nuclear grade ☐ Low	☐ Intermediate		] High		Unk	known/No	t reported
Mitotic Count □ 1 □ 2	□ 3 □ Unkno	wn					
Lymphovascular invasion	□ Yes □ No		Equivo	ocal	ا□	Unknown	Not reported
Tumor infiltrating lymphocy	rtes: □ None/minim	al [	⊒ Prese	ent	□Ε	extensive	☐ Unknown/not reported
IN SITU DISEASE in Biop	sy						
Assessment of Ductal Ca	rcinoma In Situ (D	CIS)	)				
Is DCIS present?	□ Ye	es.		No		Unknow	n
Is DCIS present with inva	asive cancer?   Ye	∍s		No		Unknow	n
If present with invasive	disease, Is an exte	nsive	e intradu	uctal d	comp	onent (E	IC) present? □ Yes □ No
Is cancerization of lobules	present? ☐ Ye	es:		No			
DCIS Histologic Type (check all that apply)	<ul><li>□ Comedo</li><li>□ Solid</li><li>□ Cribriform</li><li>□ Micropapillary</li></ul>			Apod Intra- Papil	-cyst		ted papillary)
	☐ Clinging			Othe	r, sp	ecify	
Is Paget's disease of the n	ipple present?		Yes	[	⊐ N	0	□ Unknown
Is microinvasive cancer pre	esent?		Yes		□ N	0	☐ Unknown
Assessment of Lobular C	Carcinoma In Situ (	LCIS	5)				
Is LCIS present?			Yes		□ N	0	
Is LCIS present with inv	vasive cancer?		Yes		J N	0	
Extent of LCIS			Focal	[	] E	xtensive	□ Not specified
Marker Status							
Estrogen Receptor (ER) \$ □ Negative □ Positive □ 1+ □ 2+ □ 3+ □ Unk If reported, % cells (+)	☐ Low Positive nown/Not reported						
☐ Attempted, but technical	ly inadequate						

Staining Antibody
Antigen Retrieval □ Unknown □ No □ Yes, specify
Progesterone Receptor (PgR) Status  ☐ Negative ☐ Positive ☐ Low Positive ☐ 1+ ☐ 2+ ☐ 3+ ☐ Unknown/Not reported PgR % cells stained positive %
☐ Attempted, but technically inadequate Staining Antibody
Antigen Retrieval □ Unknown □ No □ Yes, specify
Her2/neu expression by immunohistochemistry  Negative 1+ 2+ 3+ Unknown/Not reported  Dositive Low Positive  Attempted, but technically inadequate  If reported, % cells (+) %
Staining Antibody
Antigen Retrieval ☐ Unknown ☐ No ☐ Yes, specify
HER2 status by FISH  FISH HER2/neu chromosome 17 (HER2:cep17) Ratio :  □ Amplified (HER2:cep17 ratio >2.2) □ Amplified (HER2 copy number >6)  □ Not amplified (HER2:cep17 ratio <1.8) □ Not amplified (HER2 copy number <4)  □ Equivocal (HER2:cep17 ratio 1.8-2.2) □ Equivocal (HER2 copy number 4-6)  □ Not done/Not reported  □ Attempted, but technically inadequate  Method/Kit Used:
Final HER2 status  □ Negative □ Positive □ Equivocal □ Not done/Not reported
<u>Lymph Nodes</u> Did the patient undergo lymph node sampling prior to definitive surgery (at diagnosis)? □ No □ Yes
If yes, was there histologic or cytologic evidence of lymph node involvement? $ \  \   \square \; N/A  \  \  \square No  \  \  \square \; Yes  \  \  \square \; Equivocal$
Date of lymph node sampling//
Method of Evaluation: ☐ Fine needle aspiration biopsy ☐ Core biopsy ☐ Incisional biopsy ☐ Excisional biopsy or lumpectomy ☐ Sentinel node biopsy

### **Surgical Procedures**

See Surgical CDE

Pathologic Dise	ease Class	ification A	fter Defir	nitive Surgery	Indicate highest	t stage
Largest diameter	of residua	l invasive ca	ancer (for	T Stage)	_ mm	
Histologic Type	<ul><li>□ Invasiv</li><li>□ Invasiv</li><li>□ Invasiv</li></ul>	/e mixed du /e mammar	ig) lobulai ictal and l y carcino	carcinoma r carcinoma obular carcinor ma (not otherw	ise specified)	
Lymphovascular	invasion	□ Yes	□ No	□ Equivocal	□ Unknown/N	lot reported
Tumor infiltrating	lymphocyt	es: □ None	e/minimal	☐ Present	□ Extensive	☐ Unknown/Not reported
Pathologic status	of surgica	l margins (s	see Surgio	cal CDE)		
Histologic Grade	☐ Grad	e I (Low) □	Grade II	(Intermediate)	☐ Grade III (F	ligh) ☐ Not reported
Nuclear grade [	□ Low	□ Interme	ediate	□ High	□ Unknown	
Mitotic Count						
☐ 1 (less than 10	mitoses p	er 10 high l	HPF (25X	objective) or 0	to 5 mitoses pe	er 10 HPF (40X objective)
☐ 2 (10-20 mitos objective)	es per 10 l	nigh power	fields (25)	X objective) or	6 to 10 mitoses	per 10 high power fields (40X
☐ 3 (Greater than objective)	n 20 mitose	es per 10 H	PF (25X (	objective) or gre	eater than 10 mi	itoses per 10 HPF (40X
□ U (Unknown)						
Percentage of tur	nor cells th	nat are mito	tic:	%		
If evaluated, Arch  ☐ Unknown/Not i		rade, Tubul	e formatio	on □1(>75%)	□ 2 (10-75%	) □ 3 (<10%)
Pathologic Stag	<u>e</u>					
AJCC classification	on version:	□ 1 <sup>st</sup> □2 <sup>n</sup>	<sup>d</sup> □3 <sup>rd</sup> □	□4 <sup>th</sup> □5 <sup>th</sup> □6 <sup>t</sup>	<sup>th</sup> □7 <sup>th</sup>	
T Stage, Path	Ū				c □ T1mi □ ·	T2□ T3 □ T4 □ T4a CIS)□ Tis(Paget's)□ TX

N Stage, Pathologic	□ N0 □ N0	(i+) □ N0(i-) □	□ N0(mol+) □ N0(mo	ol-) □ N1 □ N1a □ N1b	
	□ N1c □ N1r	ni 🗆 N2a 🗆 N	l2b □ N3a □ N3b	D □ N3c □ NX	
M Stage, Pathologic	□ M0 □ M	1 □ MX			
Stage Grouping	□ 0 □ IA □	IB □ IIA □ IIA	□ IIB □ IIIA □	IIIB 🗆 IIIC 🗆 IV	
Pathology: Assessment	of Lymph Node	s (After Definiti	ve Surgery)		
Was sentinel node sampl	ing performed?	☐ Yes	□ No		
If yes, Sentinel Node S	Site ☐ Ax	killary upraclavicular	<ul><li>☐ Internal Mamr</li><li>☐ Unknown</li></ul>	mary	
If yes, Number of Sent	inel Nodes Exam	ned	Total No. of Other In	volved Sentinel Nodes	
Total Number of	Positive Sentine	Nodes			
Number of Posit	tive Sentinel Node	es by H&E	<u></u>		
Number of Posit	ive Sentinel Node	es by Immunohis	tochemistry (IHC) on	ly	
Measurement of	Largest Metasta	sis $\square$ > or = 2	mm 🗆 0.2 - 2 mn	n □ <0.2mm	
Was axillary dissection pe	oh Nodes Examin	ed Nu	•	mph Nodes	
	oh Nodes with Ma				
	oh Nodes with Mi	rometastases	<del></del>		
Lymph Node Assessme		Size of Larg	est Nodal Met	For each type, No. of Positive Lymph Nodes	
Lymph Node Type	Involvement	•	CSt Nodal Wet	1 Ositive Lymph Nodes	
Axillary		□ < 0.2 mm b	y IHC only □ 2 mm n by H&E □ > 2 cm		
Internal mammary			y IHC only □ 2 mm n by H&E □ > 2 cm		
Supraclavicular			y IHC only □ 2 mm n by H&E □ > 2 cm		
Infraclavicular			y IHC only □ 2 mm n by H&E □ > 2 cm		
* Indicate Node Involve 3= Equivocal; 4= Unk		evaluated/tested	d; 1= Positive Findi	ng; 2= Negative Finding;	
Marker Status (Definitive Surgery Specimen)					
Estrogen Receptor (ER)  ☐ Negative ☐ Positive ☐ Attempted, but technic	☐ Low Positi	ve □ 1+ □ 2+ □	] 3+ □ Unknown/N	Not reported	

If reported, % cells (+) %	
Staining Antibody	
Antigen Retrieval ☐ Unknown ☐	No   Yes, specify
☐ Attempted, but technically inadequate PgR % cells stained positive %	
Antigen Retrieval ☐ Unknown ☐	No   Yes, specify
Her2/neu expression by immunohisto	ochemistry
☐ Attempted, but technically inadequate If reported, % cells (+) %	esitive 🗆 1+ 🗆 2+ 🗆 3+ 🗆 Unknown/Not reported
Antigen Retrieval ☐ Unknown ☐	No   Yes, specify
Her2 status by FISH  FISH HER2/neu:chromosome 17 (HER2  □ Amplified (HER2:cep17 ratio >2.2)  □ Not amplified (HER2:cep17 ratio <1.8  □ Equivocal (HER2:cep17 ratio 1.8-2.2)  □ Not done/Not reported  □ Attempted, but technically inadequate Method/Kit Used:	☐ Amplified (HER2 copy number >6) ☐ Not amplified (HER2 copy number <4) ☐ Equivocal (HER2 copy number 4-6)
Final HER2 status	
□ Negative □ Positive □ Equivoc	al □ Not done/Not reported
Assessment of Ductal Carcinoma In S	Situ (DCIS)
Is DCIS present?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown
Is DCIS present with invasive cance	er? □ Yes □ No □ Unknown
If present with invasive disease, Is a	an extensive intraductal component (EIC) present?   Yes   No
Is cancerization of lobules present?	□ Yes □ No
Histologic Type ☐ Comedo (check all that apply) ☐ Cribriforr ☐ Clinging	

Does the DCIS in	argin(s)? □ Y	'es □ No □l	Jnknown		
If YES, describe	the extent of margin	involvement			
	☐ Single margin, fo	ocal   Single	margin, extens	ive   Multiple n	nargins
If the DCIS does	not involve the mar	gins, is it < 2 m	nm from margir	n(s)? □ Yes □	l No
If yes,	describe the extent of	of DCIS close t	to the margin		
	☐ Single margin, fo	ocal   Single	margin, extens	ive   Multiple n	nargins
If the DCIS is 2mr	n or further from the	margin, how o	close is the nea	arest margin?	mm.
Is Paget's disease of the	nipple present?	□ Yes	□ No	□ Unknown	
Is microinvasive cancer present?		☐ Yes	□ No	□ Unknown	
Assessment of Lobular	Carcinoma In Situ	(LCIS)			
Is LCIS present?	☐ Yes	□ No			
Is LCIS present with i	□ Yes	□ No			
Extent of LCIS		☐ Focal	☐ Extensiv	ve 🗆 Not speci	fied
Is LCIS at margin?	☐ Transected☐ Less than 1 m☐ > or = 1 mm to		☐ Involved	than 10 mm d, NOS blved, NOS	□ Unknown

# **CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE**

### III. Endpoints

rimepoints	
Time from registration to:  Duration (in days/more	☐ First randomization ☐ Second randomization ☐ Third randomization ☐ Biologic therapy start ☐ Biologic therapy stop ☐ Hormonal therapy start ☐ Hormonal therapy stop ☐ Chemotherapy start ☐ Chemotherapy stop ☐ Radiation therapy start ☐ Radiation therapy stop ☐ Most extensive primary surgery ☐ Contralateral invasive disease ☐ Local/regional invasive recurrence ☐ Local/regional recurrence ☐ Distant invasive recurrence ☐ Secondary non-breast primary cancer ☐ Ipsilateral DCIS ☐ Contralateral DCIS ☐ Ipsilateral LCIS ☐ Contralateral LCIS ☐ Last assessment ☐ Last Contact or Death ☐ Death from any cause
Time from most extensive surgery to:	☐ First randomization ☐ Second randomization ☐ Third randomization ☐ Biologic therapy start ☐ Biologic therapy stop ☐ Hormonal therapy start ☐ Hormonal therapy stop ☐ Chemotherapy start ☐ Chemotherapy stop ☐ Radiation therapy start ☐ Radiation therapy stop ☐ Most extensive primary surgery ☐ Contralateral invasive disease ☐ Local/regional invasive recurrence ☐ Local/regional recurrence ☐ Distant invasive recurrence ☐ Secondary non-breast primary cancer ☐ Ipsilateral DCIS ☐ Contralateral DCIS ☐ Ipsilateral LCIS ☐ Contralateral LCIS ☐ Last assessment ☐ Last Contact or Death ☐ Death from any cause
Duration (in days/inoi	initis founded to tentris)
Time from randomization to:	☐ First randomization ☐ Second randomization ☐ Third randomization ☐ Biologic therapy start ☐ Biologic therapy stop ☐ Hormonal therapy start ☐ Hormonal therapy stop ☐ Chemotherapy start ☐ Chemotherapy stop ☐ Radiation therapy start ☐ Radiation therapy stop ☐ Most extensive primary surgery ☐ Contralateral invasive disease ☐ Local/regional invasive recurrence ☐ Local/regional recurrence ☐ Distant invasive recurrence ☐ Secondary non-breast primary cancer ☐ Ipsilateral DCIS ☐ Contralateral DCIS ☐ Ipsilateral LCIS ☐ Contralateral LCIS ☐ Last assessment ☐ Last Contact or Death ☐ Death from any cause
Duration (in days/mor	nths rounded to tenths)

# CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Site(s) of Progression	
First recurrence/progression □ Local □ Regional □ Distant	
Site of First Local-Regional Progression ☐ Ipsilateral breast ☐ Axillary nodes (check all that apply) ☐ Chest wall ☐ Internal mammary nodes ☐ Axilla ☐ Other	
If sites other than specified, Indicate Name	
Site of Distant Progression	
Progressive Disease  Target Lesions (At least a 20% increase in the Sum Documentation Target Lesions, taking as reference since the treatment started)  Nontarget Lesions (Unequivocal progression of exis Appearance of one or more new lesions  Other:	the smallest sum recorded ting nontarget lesions)
(Note: Record all anatomic sites of progression on the Follow-Up form for the specific	c disease being treated.)
Methods of Evaluation: ☐ Clinical examination ☐ CT Scan ☐ MRI (NMR) ☐ E ☐ Chest X-ray ☐ Spiral CT Scan ☐ Ultrasound ☐ C	
Notice of New Primary (Including second primary of the contralateral breast)  Has a new primary cancer or myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) been diagnosed?	□ Yes □ No
Thas a new primary cancer or myclodyspiastic syndrome (MDO) been diagnosed:	L 103 L 110
ICD-10 Code	